



# **BIOLOGICAL INTEGRITY OF STREAMS IN THE BLACKFOOT RIVER TMDL PLANNING AREA BASED ON THE STRUCTURE AND COMPOSITION OF THE BENTHIC ALGAE COMMUNITY**

**Prepared for:**

**State of Montana  
Department of Environmental Quality  
P.O. Box 200901  
Helena, Montana 59620-0901**

**Project Officer: Andrew Welch  
DEQ Contract No. 200012-10**

**Prepared by:**

**Loren L. Bahls, Ph.D.  
*Hanna*  
1032 Twelfth Avenue  
Helena, Montana 59601**

**May 19, 2004**

STATE DOCUMENTS COLLECTION

AUG 23 2004

MONTANA STATE LIBRARY  
1515 E. 6th AVE.  
HELENA, MONTANA



## Summary

In September and October of 2003, periphyton samples were collected from 30 sites on 19 streams in the Blackfoot River TMDL planning area in west central Montana for the purpose of assessing whether these streams are water-quality limited and in need of TMDLs. The samples were collected following MDEQ standard operating procedures, processed and analyzed following standard methods for periphyton, and evaluated following modified USEPA rapid bioassessment protocols for wadeable streams.

Siltation index values for Black Bear Creek, lower Buffalo Gulch, lower Douglas Creek, and lower Washington Creek suggest severe impairment from sedimentation and non-support of aquatic life uses. Siltation index values for Blanchard Creek, Wales Creek, Frazier Creek, upper Buffalo Gulch, and upper Washington Creek indicate moderate impairment from sedimentation and partial support of aquatic life uses. The low pollution index for Black Bear Creek indicates moderate impairment from excessive organic nutrients and only partial support of aquatic life uses. Diatom metrics suggest minor impairment from both organic loading and sedimentation at most of the remaining Blackfoot tributary sites.

Larger than normal percentages of teratological diatom cells ( $>1\%$ ) suggest that elevated concentrations of heavy metals may be present in Richmond Creek and at the upper site on the West Fork of the Clearwater River. Twenty-two sites supported smaller percentages of abnormal diatom cells ( $<1\%$ ) and 6 sites had none.

Diatoms were present in all of the samples. Most of the 34 major diatom species are either sensitive to organic pollution or only somewhat tolerant of organic pollution. Only one of the major diatom species—*Nitzschia palea*—is most tolerant of organic pollution and this species was most abundant in Black Bear Creek.

In general, diatom species richness, diversity, and equitability were excellent. Most sites had more than 40 species and diversity values greater than 4.00. One site (lower Murray Creek) had 73 species and a diversity index of 4.99, which are exceptional values for a mountain stream. High diatom diversity in these streams suggests moderate nutrient enrichment (little competition for available nutrients) and the absence of extreme natural stressors, such as steep gradients, fast currents, low light, low nutrients, and/or constant cold temperatures. Only one site—upper Douglas Creek—had a low diversity value that indicates unusual stress. This was also the only site where the dominant species contributed more than half of the diatom cells. The cause of stress in upper Douglas Creek was probably excess inorganic nutrients.

Streams where nitrogen-fixing cyanobacteria were common include the West Fork of the Clearwater River (both sites), Deer Creek (both sites), Blanchard Creek, Buffalo Gulch (upper site), Washington and Jefferson Creeks (upper sites), and Seven-Up Pete Creek. Nitrogen-fixing diatoms in the order Rhopalodiales accounted for more than 2% of the cells in the West Fork of the Clearwater River (both sites), Deer Creek (both sites), Black Bear Creek, Blanchard Creek, Jefferson Creek (upper site), and Seven-Up Pete Creek. Nitrogen is most likely the limiting nutrient at these sites.

## Introduction

This report evaluates the biological integrity<sup>1</sup>, support of aquatic life uses, and probable causes of stress or impairment to aquatic communities at 30 sites on 19 streams in the Blackfoot River TMDL Planning Area of west central Montana. The purpose of this report is to provide information that will help the State of Montana determine whether these streams are water-quality limited and in need of TMDLs.

The federal Clean Water Act directs states to develop water pollution control plans (Total Maximum Daily Loads or TMDLs) that set limits on pollution loading to water-quality limited waters. Water-quality limited waters are lakes and stream segments that do not meet water-quality standards, that is, that do not fully support their beneficial uses. The Clean Water Act and USEPA regulations require each state to (1) identify waters that are water-quality limited, (2) prioritize and target waters for TMDLs, and (3) develop TMDL plans to attain and maintain water-quality standards for all water-quality limited waters.

Evaluation of aquatic life use support in this report is based on the species composition and structure of periphyton (a.k.a. benthic algae, phytobenthos) communities at 30 sites on 19 streams that were sampled in September and October of 2003. Periphyton is a diverse assortment of simple photosynthetic organisms called algae that live attached to or in close proximity of the stream bottom. Some algae form long filaments or large gelatinous colonies that are conspicuous to the unaided eye. However, most algae, including the ubiquitous diatoms, can be seen and identified only with the aid of a microscope. The periphyton community is a basic biological component of all aquatic ecosystems. Periphyton accounts for much of the primary production and biological diversity in Montana streams (Bahls et al. 1992). Plafkin et al. (1989) and Barbour et al. (1999) list several advantages of using periphyton in biological assessments.

---

<sup>1</sup> *Biological integrity* is defined as “the ability of an aquatic ecosystem to support and maintain a balanced, integrated, adaptive community of organisms having a species composition, diversity, and functional organization comparable to that of natural habitats within a region” (Karr and Dudley 1981).

## **Project Area and Sampling Sites**

The project area is located mostly within the Middle Rockies Ecoregion (USEPA 2000). Some of the north side tributaries of the Blackfoot River head in the Canadian Rockies Ecoregion (USEPA 2000). The Blackfoot River begins at Rogers Pass north of Helena and flows west for about 100 miles to its confluence with the Clark Fork River at Bonner, Montana. The surface geology of the watershed is complex, consisting mostly of Precambrian Belt Series Rocks in the uplands and Tertiary basin fill in the Blackfoot Valley (Renfro and Feray 1972). Climax vegetation consists of alpine tundra at the highest elevations, mixed conifer forest at intermediate elevations, and mixed grassland/sagebrush steppe in the Blackfoot Valley near Ovando. The main land uses are recreation, logging, ranching, and mining.

Periphyton samples were collected at 30 sites on 19 tributaries of the Blackfoot River (Table 1). All sites are in USGS HUC 17010203 and are classified B-1 in the Montana Surface Water Quality Standards.

## **Methods**

Periphyton samples were collected following standard operating procedures of the MDEQ Planning, Prevention, and Assistance Division. Using appropriate tools, microalgae were scraped, brushed, or sucked from natural substrates in proportion to the importance of those substrates at each study site. Macroalgae were picked by hand in proportion to their abundance at the site. All collections of microalgae and macroalgae were pooled into a common container and preserved with Lugol's (IKI) solution.

The samples were examined to estimate the relative abundance of cells and rank by biovolume of diatoms and genera of soft (non-diatom) algae according to the method described in Bahls (1993). Soft algae were identified using Smith (1950), Prescott (1962, 1978), John et al. (2002), and Wehr and Sheath (2003). These books also served as references on the ecology of the soft algae, along with Palmer (1969, 1977).



After the identification of soft algae, the raw periphyton samples were cleaned of organic matter using sulfuric acid, potassium dichromate, and hydrogen peroxide. Then permanent diatom slides were prepared using Naphrax™, a high refractive index mounting medium, following *Standard Methods for the Examination of Water and Wastewater* (APHA 1998). At least 400 diatom cells (800 valves) were counted at random and identified to species. The following were the main taxonomic references for the diatoms: Krammer and Lange-Bertalot 1986, 1988, 1991a, 1991b; Lange-Bertalot 1993, 2001; Krammer 1997a, 1997b, 2002; Reichardt 1997, 1999. Diatom naming conventions followed those adopted by the Integrated Taxonomic Information System (<http://www.itis.usda.gov>). For taxa not yet included in ITIS, naming conventions followed those adopted by the Academy of Natural Sciences for USGS NAWQA samples (Morales and Potapova 2000). Van Dam et al. (1994) was the main ecological reference for the diatoms.

The diatom proportional counts were used to generate an array of diatom association metrics. A metric is a characteristic of the biota that changes in some predictable way with increased human influence (Barbour et al. 1999). Diatoms are particularly useful in generating metrics because there is a wealth of information available in the literature regarding the pollution tolerances and water quality preferences of common diatom species (e.g., Lowe 1974, Beaver 1981, Lange-Bertalot 1996, Van Dam et al. 1994).

Values for selected metrics were compared to biocriteria (numeric thresholds) developed for streams in the Rocky Mountain ecoregions of Montana (Table 2). These criteria are based on metric values measured in least-impaired reference streams (Bahls et al. 1992) and metric values measured in streams that are known to be impaired by various sources and causes of pollution (Bahls 1993). The criteria in Table 2 are valid only for samples collected during the summer field season (June 21-September 21). [Note: About half of the Blackfoot TMDL periphyton samples were collected after September 21.] These criteria distinguish among four levels of stress or impairment and three levels of aquatic life use support: (1) no impairment or only minor impairment (full support); (2) moderate impairment (partial support); and (3) severe impairment (non-support). These impairment levels correspond to excellent, good, fair, and poor

biological integrity, respectively. In cold, high-gradient mountain streams, natural stressors will often mimic the effects of man-caused impairment on some metric values.

## Quality Assurance

Several steps were taken to assure that the study results are accurate and reproducible. Upon receipt of the samples, station and sample attribute data were recorded in the Montana Diatom Database and the samples were assigned a unique number, e.g., 3031-01. The first part of this number (3031) designates the sampling site (Monture Creek near mouth) and the second part (01) designates the number of periphyton samples that have been collected at this site for which data have been entered into the Montana Diatom Database.

Sample observations and analyses of soft (non-diatom) algae were recorded in a lab notebook along with information on the sample label. A portion of the raw sample was used to make duplicate diatom slides. The slides used for the diatom proportional counts will be deposited in the Montana Diatom Collection at the University of Montana Herbarium (MONTU) in Missoula. Duplicate slides will be retained by *Hannaea* in Helena. Diatom proportional counts have been entered into the Montana Diatom Database.

## Results and Discussion

Results are presented in Tables 3, 4, 5, and 6 which are located near the end of this report following the references section. Appendix A contains a diatom report for each sample. Each diatom report includes an alphabetical list of diatom species in that sample and their percent abundances, and values for 66 different diatom metrics and ecological attributes.

### Sample Notes (Table 3)

Notes on the contents and condition of each sample are recorded in Table 3. Most samples contained varying amounts of sediment and plant material other than algae.

## Non-Diatom Algae (Table 4)

Thirty-two genera representing five divisions of non-diatom algae were found in samples that were collected from tributaries of the Blackfoot River (Table 4). Divisions represented by the most genera were Chlorophyta or green algae (17 genera) and Cyanophyta or cyanobacteria (10 genera). The Division Chrysophyta (yellow-green algae) was represented by two genera and the Divisions Rhodophyta (red algae) and Phaeophyta (brown algae) were represented by one genus each.

Green algae were found in all but 5 samples and cyanobacteria were found in all but 5 samples. Yellow-green algae were found in 8 samples and the red alga *Audouinella* was found in 11 samples. *Heribaudiella fluviatilis*, a rare freshwater brown alga [most other species of brown algae are marine], was found in 7 samples and was abundant in Monture Creek, the West Fork of the Clearwater River, and upper Jefferson Creek. The number of genera of non-diatom algae ranged from 0 in lower Murray Creek to 15 at the lower site on the West Fork of the Clearwater River.

**Nitrogen-fixing Algae.** Cyanobacteria that possess a certain type of specialized cell (heterocyst) are capable of fixing molecular or atmospheric nitrogen under aerobic conditions. These algae have a competitive advantage in waters where nitrogen is in short supply relative to phosphorus and other nutrients. Among tributaries of the Blackfoot River, blue-green algae with heterocysts include *Anabaena*, *Calothrix*, *Nostoc*, and *Tolypothrix*. Streams where one or more of these genera were common, frequent, or abundant are: West Fork of the Clearwater River (both sites), Deer Creek (both sites), Blanchard Creek, Buffalo Gulch (upper site), Washington and Jefferson Creeks (upper sites), and Seven-Up Pete Creek. Nitrogen is most likely the limiting nutrient at these sites.

**Mat-forming Filamentous Algae.** Large standing crops of filamentous algae can interfere with swimming, boating, fishing, and other water uses. Algal genera in tributaries of



the Blackfoot River that are known to produce nuisance growths in North American waters are *Cladophora*, *Oedogonium*, *Oscillatoria*, *Rhizoclonium*, *Spirogyra*, *Stigeoclonium*, and *Ulothrix* (Wehr and Sheath 2003). Streams where one or more of these genera were dominant or abundant in periphyton samples are: Monture Creek, Yourname Creek, West Fork Clearwater River, Blanchard Creek, Rock Creek, Douglas Creek, and Braziel Creek. Among the streams represented in this sample set, these are most likely to support nuisance growths of mat-forming filamentous algae.

**Pollution-tolerant Algae.** Palmer (1969) listed 60 algal genera that are most tolerant of organic pollution. Genera of non-diatom algae in this sample set that are among the top 22 on Palmer's list are *Oscillatoria* (#2), *Scenedesmus* (#4), *Stigeoclonium* (#8), *Ankistrodesmus* (#10), *Phormidium* (#12), *Closterium* (#16), *Spirogyra* (#21), and *Anabaena* (#22). Streams where one or more of these genera were abundant or dominant are: Monture Creek, West Fork Clearwater River, Blanchard Creek, Rock Creek, and Douglas Creek. These streams are the ones that most likely receive the heaviest loads of organic matter. Genera among the 22 most pollution-tolerant algae were common or frequent at several more sites.

**Other Indicator Algae.** When abundant, certain genera of algae can provide useful clues about environmental conditions. The two genera of chrysophytes that were present in these samples are both good indicator algae. *Tribonema*, which is sensitive to organic pollution and prefers cool waters, was most abundant in upper Deer Creek, upper Monture Creek, and in the West Fork of the Clearwater River. *Vaucheria*, another chrysophyte, requires steady flows of cool water. *Vaucheria* was frequent in Buffalo Gulch (lower) and occasional in Frazier Creek and lower Deer Creek.

The filamentous green alga *Mougeotia* has often been reported to increase in abundance in lakes that are subject to atmospheric deposition and undergoing acidification. Among study sites in the Blackfoot River TMDL planning area, *Mougeotia* occurred infrequently and was common only in the West Fork of the Clearwater River and in Rock Creek.

## Diatoms (Table 5)

Diatoms were present in all of the samples. Most of the 34 major diatom species in tributaries of the Blackfoot River are either sensitive to organic pollution or only somewhat tolerant of organic pollution. Only one of the major diatom species (*Nitzschia palea*) is most tolerant of organic pollution and this species was most abundant in Black Bear Creek (Table 5).

In general, diatom species richness, diversity, and equitability were excellent. Most sites supported more than 40 species and diversity values in excess of 4.00. One site (lower Murray Creek) had 73 species and a diversity index of 4.99. These are exceptionally high values for a mountain stream. Only one site—upper Douglas Creek—had a diversity value that indicates unusual stress. This was also the only site where the dominant species contributed more than half of the cells to the diatom assemblage (Table 5). The cause of stress in upper Douglas Creek was probably excess inorganic nutrients.

High diatom diversity in these samples suggests the absence of extreme natural stressors, such as steep gradients, fast currents, low light, low nutrients, and constant cold temperatures, which may prevail in the extreme upper reaches of these streams. The abundance of non-motile, free-living taxa (*Diatoma* spp., *Fragilaria* spp., *Melosira varians*, *Pseudostarosira brevistriata*, *Staurosira construens*, and *Synedra* spp.), attached species (*Achnanthyidium* spp., *Cocconeis placentula*, *Rhoicosphenia abbreviata*), and motile, free-living taxa (*Navicula* spp., *Nitzschia* spp., *Surirella minuta*) suggests a wide variety of substrates, gradients, and current velocities. The disturbance index at most sites was relatively low, which suggests moderate gradients and slower current velocities than most mountain streams (Table 5).

Besides the absence of natural stressors and the presence of complex microhabitats, high diatom diversity in these streams also suggests moderate nutrient enrichment (little competition for available nutrients). Pollution index values, which indicate the amount of organic loading, are generally low for mountain streams. Many are at or below the threshold for minor impairment.

Similarly, siltation index values tend to be higher in Blackfoot tributaries than in most mountain streams.

Most of the sites supported teratological (deformed or physically abnormal) diatom cells. In large numbers, abnormal cells may indicate metals toxicity. However, the percentage of abnormal cells was within acceptable limits at all sites. The largest percentage of abnormal cells (1.74%) was recorded in Richmond Creek (Table 5).

The similarity index (“percent community similarity”) measures the cumulative percentage of cells of each taxon that are shared by two stream sites. The similarity index can be used to gauge the degree of environmental change that occurs between sites on the same stream. Similarity index values for Blackfoot tributaries suggest that ecological changes between adjacent sites on the same stream varied from un-measurable (>60% between the middle and lower Monture Creek sites) to extreme (<20% between the two sites on Rock Creek).

The diatom order Rhopalodiales includes genera (*Epithemia* and *Rhopalodia*) that are known to harbor nitrogen-fixing endosymbionts within their cells. These symbiotic nitrogen-fixers are single-celled cyanobacteria (blue-green algae). Nitrogen is likely the limiting nutrient in waters that support large numbers of diatoms in the order Rhopalodiales. Among tributaries to the Blackfoot River, diatoms in the order Rhopalodiales accounted for more than 2% of the cells at the following sites: West Fork Clearwater River (both sites), Deer Creek (both sites), Black Bear Creek, Blanchard Creek, Jefferson Creek (upper site), and Seven-Up Pete Creek.

The following paragraphs highlight the key findings for each stream and each site based upon the major diatom species and core diatom metrics in Table 5.

**Monture Creek.** Except for a few teratological cells and slightly elevated disturbance index values, diatom metrics indicate that Monture Creek had excellent biological integrity, no impairment, and provided full support to aquatic life uses. Similarity index values indicate little environmental change between the upper and middle sites and virtually no change between the middle and lower sites.

**Kleinschmidt Creek.** Kleinschmidt Creek also supported a few abnormal diatom cells and had a slightly elevated disturbance index (% *Achnanthes minutissimum*), which indicates minor stress that may be natural in origin.

**Yourname Creek.** This site had a depressed pollution index and an elevated siltation index, which indicate minor impairment from organic loading and sedimentation, respectively. Three teratological diatom cells were counted here.

**Richmond Creek.** This stream had an elevated siltation index and supported the largest percentage of abnormal diatoms (1.74%) of all the Blackfoot tributaries. However, both values suggest only minor impairment and full support of aquatic life uses.

**West Fork Clearwater River.** The upper site had a slightly elevated siltation index and supported the second largest percentage of abnormal diatoms (1.19%). Both values indicate only minor impairment and full support of aquatic life uses. Diatom metrics at the lower site indicate excellent biological integrity and no impairment of aquatic life uses. The similarity index indicates that a moderate amount of environmental change occurred between the two sites.

**Deer Creek.** The upper site had a slightly elevated siltation index that indicates minor impairment from sedimentation. Judging from the depressed pollution index and the large percentage of the eutraphentic species *Melosira varians*, the lower site suffered minor impairment from excess organic loading and elevated concentrations of inorganic nutrients. The similarity index between the two sites suggests that a moderate amount of environmental change occurred between them.

**Blanchard Creek.** A large percentage of highly motile diatoms at this site, including *Nitzschia fonticola*, suggest moderate impairment and only partial support of aquatic life uses due to excessive sedimentation. Blanchard Creek also had lower diatom species richness and diversity values than most other sites in the sample set, and the pollution index was just above the threshold for minor impairment.



**Wales Creek.** The large percentage of motile diatoms in Wales Creek indicates moderate impairment from sedimentation and only partial support of aquatic life uses. The slightly depressed pollution index suggests minor impairment from organic loading.

**Rock Creek.** Diatom metrics suggest that both sites had good biological integrity and provided full support to aquatic life uses. Rock Creek had a very distinctive diatom flora that included two species that are seldom recorded in North America: *Gomphonema designatum* and *Distrionella incognita*. The latter is restricted to streams that head in the Canadian Rockies Ecoregion. The two sites on Rock Creek supported very different diatom floras.

**Frazier Creek.** A large percentage of motile diatoms here suggest moderate impairment and partial support of aquatic life uses due to sedimentation. The siltation index here approaches but does not exceed the threshold for severe impairment and non-support of aquatic life uses. The pollution index for Frazier Creek is just below the threshold for minor impairment from organic loading.

**Buffalo Gulch.** Both sites on Buffalo Gulch support elevated percentages of motile diatoms. The siltation index at the upper site just exceeds the threshold for moderate impairment and partial support of aquatic life uses. The siltation index at the lower site exceeds the threshold for severe impairment and non-support of aquatic life uses. The pollution index indicates that both sites suffer minor impairment from elevated organic loading. The two sites on Buffalo Gulch shared about half of their diatom assemblages, which indicates that only minor changes in environmental conditions occurred between them.

**Black Bear Creek.** This site had the highest siltation index and the lowest pollution index of all sites in the sample set. The very large percentage of highly motile diatoms indicates severe impairment and non-support of aquatic life uses due to sedimentation. Large numbers of *Nitzschia palea*, a pollution tolerant species, indicates elevated concentrations of nitrogenous organic matter, and the resulting low pollution index suggests moderate impairment and partial support of aquatic life uses.

**Murray Creek.** Diatom metrics indicate that Murray Creek had good biological integrity and provided full support of aquatic life uses. Slightly elevated siltation index values suggest minor impairment from sedimentation. Both sites also supported large numbers of *Planothidium* spp., which are adapted to living attached to sand grains. A low pollution index value at the lower site suggests minor impairment from organic loading. The two sites on Murray Creek shared about half of their diatom assemblages.

**Douglas Creek.** Diatom metrics indicate progressively increasing organic loading and sedimentation in a downstream direction on Douglas Creek. The upper site was dominated by *Cymbella excisa*, an eutraphentic species that favors elevated concentrations of inorganic nutrients. The large percentage of this diatom resulted in the lowest diversity index (2.64) of all the sites in the sample set. Otherwise, this site had normal metric values for a mountain stream. The middle site was floristically much different from the upper site and was subject to minor impairment from organic loading and sedimentation. The lower site was severely impaired by sedimentation and suffered more serious but still minor impairment from organic loading.

**Gallagher Creek.** Diatom metrics suggest minor impairment from organic loading and sedimentation in Gallagher Creek. Otherwise, Gallagher Creek had normal metric values for a mountain stream.

**Washington Creek.** Diatom metrics suggest impairment from sedimentation at both sites on Washington Creek. Impairment was moderate at the upper site and severe at the lower site. Minor impairment from organic loading was indicated at both sites, which had identical pollution index values. The two sites on Washington Creek shared 43% of their diatom assemblages, which indicates a minor amount of environmental change.

**Jefferson Creek.** Both sites were subject to minor impairment from sedimentation. In addition, the lower site had minor impairment from organic loading. The dominant diatom species at the upper site (*Epithemia sorex*) indicates eutrophic but nitrogen-limiting conditions. The dominant species at the lower site (*Melosira varians*) indicates elevated concentrations of

inorganic nutrients, including nitrogen and phosphorus. As in Washington Creek, the two sites shared 43% of their diatom assemblages.

**Braziel Creek.** Braziel Creek was subject to minor impairment from sedimentation. The siltation index approached but did not exceed the threshold for moderate impairment. In other respects, Braziel Creek had normal metric values for a mountain stream.

**Seven-Up Pete Creek.** Aside from a few abnormal diatom cells, Seven-Up Pete Creek had excellent biological integrity for a mountain stream. However, this stream supported the smallest number of diatom species (36) of all the sites in the sample set.

## **Modal Categories (Table 6)**

Several ecological attributes assigned by Stevenson and Van Dam et al. (1994) were selected from the diatom reports in the appendix. Modal categories of these attributes were extracted to characterize water quality tendencies in tributaries of the Blackfoot River (Table 6).

The majority of diatoms at most sites in the Blackfoot River TMDL planning area were non-motile, alkaliphilous, nitrogen autotrophs that prefer fresh waters, moderate BOD levels, high oxygen levels, and elevated concentrations of inorganic nutrients. However, the modal categories at some sites represent significant departures in water quality when compared to most other sites in the sample set. These departures, which may reflect increases or decreases in water quality, are discussed below.

Although most of the sites were dominated by non-motile diatoms, Blanchard Creek, Black Bear Creek, and the lower site on Washington Creek were dominated by highly motile diatoms. Meanwhile, Frazier Creek, the lower sites on Buffalo Gulch and Douglas Creek, and the upper site on Jefferson Creek were dominated by moderately motile diatoms. These sites are most likely to have sedimentation problems.

Diatom species that prefer circumneutral (as opposed to alkaline) pH values were most abundant in Monture Creek and Kleinschmidt Creek. These streams are likely to have lower pH values than the other streams.

Nitrogen autotrophs were in the majority at all sites except lower Deer Creek, where facultative nitrogen heterotrophs accounted for the majority of the diatom cells. This site likely receives heavier loads of organic nitrogen than other sites in the sample set. At site 20 on the West Fork of the Clearwater River and site 10 on Rock Creek, most cells were represented by species that have not been classified with regard to nitrogen uptake.

The modal category for oxygen demand was “continuously high” at several sites. This is the nominal category for mountain streams. Most diatoms were in the “fairly high” category in Richmond Creek, Blanchard Creek, Wales Creek, the lower site on Washington Creek, and the upper site on Jefferson Creek. Moderate oxygen demand was the modal category at most of the remaining sites. Most cells were represented by species that have not been classified with regard to oxygen demand in lower West Fork Clearwater River, upper Rock Creek, Buffalo Gulch, and Seven-Up Pete Creek.

Beta-mesosaprobious was the usual level of saprobity at most sites. This represents a dissolved oxygen saturation level of 70-85% and a biochemical oxygen demand (BOD<sub>5</sub>) of 2-4 mg/L. However, saprobity levels were higher at three sites where most of the diatoms indicated **more** organic loading (alpha-mesosaprobious): Yourname Creek, lower Deer Creek, and lower Jefferson Creek. The alpha-mesosaprobious level corresponds to 25-70% saturation of dissolved oxygen and 4-13 mg/L BOD<sub>5</sub>. Saprobity levels were unclassified for the majority of diatoms in lower West Fork Clearwater River and upper Rock Creek.

Most sites in the Blackfoot River TMDL planning area were dominated by eutrphentic diatom species. Two sites—Wales Creek and Upper Deer Creek—were dominated by meso-eutrphentic species. Meso-eutrphentic is the next trophic level below (less enriched than) eutrphentic. Five sites were dominated by species that tolerate a wide range of trophic levels ranging from oligotrophic to eutrophic: Monture Creek (all sites), Kleinschmidt Creek, and



lower Rock Creek. Trophic status for the majority of diatoms remains unclassified in the lower West Fork Clearwater River and upper Rock Creek.

## References

- APHA. 1998. Standard Methods for the Examination of Water and Wastewater. 20<sup>th</sup> Edition. American Public Health Association, Washington, D.C.
- Bahls, L.L. 1979. Benthic diatom diversity as a measure of water quality. Proceedings of the Montana Academy of Sciences 38:1-6.
- Bahls, L.L. 1993. Periphyton Bioassessment Methods for Montana Streams (revised). Montana Department of Health and Environmental Sciences, Helena.
- Bahls, L.L., Bob Bukantis, and Steve Tralles. 1992. Benchmark Biology of Montana Reference Streams. Montana Department of Health and Environmental Sciences, Helena.
- Barbour, M.T., J. Gerritsen, B.D. Snyder, and J.B. Stribling. 1999. Rapid Bioassessment Protocols for Use in Streams and Wadeable Rivers: Periphyton, Benthic Macroinvertebrates and Fish. Second Edition. EPA/841-B-99-002. U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Office of Water, Washington, D.C.
- Beaver, Janet. 1981. Apparent Ecological Characteristics of Some Common Freshwater Diatoms. Ontario Ministry of The Environment, Technical Support Section, Don Mills, Ontario.
- Hieber, Maggi, C.T. Robinson, S. R. Rushforth, and Urs Uehlinger. 2001. Algal communities associated with different alpine stream types. Arctic, Antarctic, and Alpine Research 33(4):447-456.
- Johansen, J.R. 1999. Diatoms of Aerial Habitats. Chapter 12 in Stoermer, E.F., and J.P. Smol (eds.), The Diatoms: Applications For the Environmental and Earth Sciences, Cambridge University Press, New York.
- John, D.M., B.A. Whitton, and A.J. Brook (eds.). 2002. The Freshwater Algal Flora of the British Isles: An Identification Guide to Freshwater and Terrestrial Algae. Cambridge University
- Karr, J.R., and D.R. Dudley. 1981. Ecological perspectives on water quality goals. Environmental Management 5:55-69.
- Kawecka, B. 1990. The effect of flood-control regulation of a montane stream on the communities of sessile algae. Acta Hydrobiologia 32:345-354.
- Krammer, Kurt. 1997a. Die cymbelloiden Diatomeen: Eine Monographie der weltweit bekannten Taxa. Teil 1. Allgemeines und *Encyonema* Part. J. Cramer, Berlin.
- Krammer, Kurt. 1997b. Die cymbelloiden Diatomeen: Eine Monographie der weltweit bekannten Taxa. Teil 2. *Encyonema* part., *Encyonopsis* and *Cymbellopsis*. J. Cramer, Berlin.
- Krammer, Kurt. 2002. *Cymbella*. Volume 3 in Diatoms of Europe, Horst Lange-Bertalot, ed. A.R.G. Gantner Verlag K.G., Germany.
- Krammer, K., and H. Lange-Bertalot. 1986. Bacillariophyceae, Part 2, Volume 1: Naviculaceae. In Ettl, H., J Gerloff, H. Heynig, and D. Mollenhauer (eds.), Freshwater Flora of Middle Europe. Gustav Fischer Publisher, New York.

- Krammer, K., and H. Lange-Bertalot. 1988. Bacillariophyceae, Part 2, Volume 2: Bacillariaceae, Epithemiaceae, Surirellaceae. In Ettl, H., J. Gerloff, H. Heynig, and D. Mollenhauer (eds.), *Freshwater Flora of Middle Europe*. Gustav Fischer Publisher, New York.
- Krammer, K., and H. Lange-Bertalot. 1991a. Bacillariophyceae, Part 2, Volume 3: Centrales, Fragilariaceae, Eunotiaceae. In Ettl, H., J. Gerloff, H. Heynig, and D. Mollenhauer (eds.), *Freshwater Flora of Middle Europe*. Gustav Fischer Publisher, Stuttgart.
- Krammer, K., and H. Lange-Bertalot. 1991b. Bacillariophyceae, Part 2, Volume 4: Achnanthaceae, Critical Supplement to *Navicula* (Lineolatae) and *Gomphonema*, Complete List of Literature for Volumes 1-4. In Ettl, H., G. Gartner, J. Gerloff, H. Heynig, and D. Mollenhauer (eds.), *Freshwater Flora of Middle Europe*. Gustav Fischer Publisher, Stuttgart.
- Lange-Bertalot, Horst. 1979. Pollution tolerance of diatoms as a criterion for water quality estimation. *Nova Hedwigia* 64:285-304.
- Lange-Bertalot, Horst. 1993. 85 new taxa and much more than 100 taxonomic clarifications supplementary to *Susswasserflora von Mitteleuropa* Vol. 2/1-4. J. Cramer, Berlin.
- Lange-Bertalot, Horst. 1996. Rote Liste der limnischen Kieselalgen (Bacillariophyceae) Deutschlands. *Schr.-R. f. Vegetationskde.*, H. 28, pp. 633-677. BfN, Bonn-Bad Godesberg.
- Lange-Bertalot, Horst. 2001. *Navicula sensu stricto*: 10 Genera Separated from *Navicula sensu lato*; *Frustulia*. Volume 2 in *Diatoms of Europe*, Horst Lange-Bertalot, ed. A.R.G. Gantner Verlag K.G., Germany.
- Lowe, R.L. 1974. Environmental Requirements and Pollution Tolerance of Freshwater Diatoms. EPA-670/4-74-005. U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, National Environmental Research Center, Office of Research and Development, Cincinnati, Ohio.
- McFarland, B.H., B.H. Hill, and W.T. Willingham. 1997. Abnormal *Fragilaria* spp. (Bacillariophyceae) In streams impacted by mine drainage. *Journal of Freshwater Ecology* 12(1):141-149.
- Nicholls, K.H., and D.E. Wujek. 2003. Chrysophycean Algae. Chapter 12 (pp. 471-509) in Wehr, J.D., and R.G. Sheath (eds.), *Freshwater Algae of North America: Ecology and Classification*. Academic Press, New York.
- Palmer, C.M. 1969. A composite rating of algae tolerating organic pollution. *Journal of Phycology* 5:78-82.
- Palmer, C.M. 1977. Algae and Water Pollution: An Illustrated Manual on the Identification, Significance, and Control of Algae in Water Supplies and in Polluted Water. EPA-600/9-77-036.
- Plafkin, J.L., M.T. Barbour, K.D. Porter, S.K. Gross, and R.M. Hughes. 1989. Rapid Bioassessment Protocols for Use in Rivers and Streams: Benthic Macroinvertebrates and Fish. EPA 440-4-89-001.
- Prescott, G.W. 1962. *Algae of the Western Great Lakes Area*. Wm. C. Brown Company, Dubuque, Iowa.
- Prescott, G.W. 1978. *How to Know the Freshwater Algae*. Third Edition. Wm. C. Brown Company Publishers, Dubuque, Iowa.
- Reichardt, Erwin. 1997. Taxonomische Revision des Artenkomplexes um *Gomphonema pumilum* (Bacillariophyta). *Nova Hedwigia* 65(1-4) :99-129.
- Reichardt, Erwin. 1999. *Zur Revision der Gattung Gomphonema*. A.R.G. Gantner Verlag, Distributed by Koeltz Scientific Books, Königstein, Germany.

- Renfro, H.B., and D.E. Feray. 1972. Geological Highway Map of the Northern Rocky Mountain Region. American Association of Petroleum Geologists, Tulsa, Oklahoma.
- Smith, G.M. 1950. The Fresh-Water Algae of The United States. McGraw-Hill Book Company, New York.
- Stevenson, R.J., and Y. Pan. 1999. Assessing Environmental Conditions in Rivers and Streams with Diatoms. Chapter 2 *in* Stoermer, E.F., and J.P. Smol (eds.), The Diatoms: Applications For the Environmental and Earth Sciences, Cambridge University Press, New York.
- Stewart, W.D.P., P. Rowell, and A.N. Rai. 1980. Symbiotic Nitrogen-Fixing Cyanobacteria. Pp. 239-277 in Stewart, W.D.P., and J. Gallo (eds.), Nitrogen Fixation, Academic Press, New York.
- USDA. 1976. Climax Vegetation of Montana (map). U.S. Department of Agriculture, Soil Conservation Service, Cartographic Unit, Portland.
- USEPA. 2000. Level III Ecoregions of the Continental United States (map). National Health and Environmental Effects Research Laboratory, U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Corvallis, Oregon.
- Van Dam, Herman, Adrienne Mertens, and Jos Sinkeldam. 1994. A coded checklist and ecological Indicator values of freshwater diatoms from The Netherlands. Netherlands Journal of Aquatic Ecology 28(1):117-133.
- Weber, C.I. (ed.). 1973. Biological Field and Laboratory Methods for Measuring the Quality of Surface Waters and Effluents. EPA-670/4-73-001. U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, National Environmental Research Center, Office of Research and Development, Cincinnati, Ohio.
- Wehr, J.D., and R.G. Sheath. 2003. Freshwater Algae of North America: Ecology and Classification. Academic Press, New York.
- Whittaker, R.H. 1952. A study of summer foliage insect communities in the Great Smoky Mountains. Ecological Monographs 22:1-44.
- Woods, A.J., Omernik, J.M., Nesser, J.A., Shelden, J., and S.H. Azevedo. 1999. Ecoregions of Montana (color poster with map), U.S. Geological Survey, Reston, Virginia.

Table 1. Location of MDEQ periphyton sampling stations in the Blackfoot River TMDL Planning Area in 2003.

Station	Montana DEQ Station Code	Hanna Sample Number	Latitude	Longitude	Sample Date
Monture Creek near mouth	C03MONTC30	3031-01	47 01 56	113 13 01	9/10/2003
Monture Creek above Highway 141	C03MONTC20	3032-01	47 03 07	113 10 57	9/10/2003
Monture Creek above Monture Creek Campground	C03MONTC10	3033-01	47 07 34	113 08 47	9/11/2003
Kleinschmidt Creek near mouth at Rock Creek	C03KLSMC01	3034-01	46 59 58	113 01 51	9/11/2003
Yourname Creek below Bridge	C03YRNMC20	3035-01	46 53 52	113 06 05	9/12/2003
Richmond Creek above logging road	C03RHMDC01	3036-01	47 19 37	113 34 32	9/13/2003
West Fork Clearwater River, upper	C03CLRWF10	3037-01	47 18 15	113 36 22	9/13/2003
West Fork Clearwater River, lower	C03CLRWF20	3038-01	47 15 10	113 35 00	9/13/2003
Deer Creek, upper	C03DEERC10	3039-01	47 14 01	113 39 18	9/14/2003
Deer Creek, lower	C03DEERC20	3040-01	47 12 41	113 33 18	9/14/2003
Blanchard Creek, lower reach	C03BLHDC10	3041-01	47 00 18	113 24 18	9/14/2003
Wales Creek near mouth	C03WALSC10	3042-01	46 55 40	113 06 48	9/15/2003
Rock Creek above Highway 200 (lower)	C03ROCKC20	3311-01	47 00 03	113 01 55	9/11/2003
Rock Creek at state land section 24 (upper)	C03ROCKC10	3312-01	47 02 10	112 55 25	9/11/2003
Frazier Creek near mouth	C03FRZRC10	3313-01	46 56 20	113 07 24	9/25/2003
Buffalo Gulch near mouth	C03BUFLG20	3314-01	46 47 47	112 46 19	9/25/2003
Buffalo Gulch, upper	C03BUFLG10	3315-01	46 48 37	112 44 27	9/25/2003
Black Bear Creek near mouth	C03BKBRC10	3316-01	46 46 33	113 05 37	9/26/2003
Murray Creek above lowest road crossing	C03MURYC20	3317-01	46 48 31	113 04 55	9/26/2003
Murray Creek above highest road crossing	C03MURYC10	3318-01	46 48 29	113 08 10	9/26/2003
Douglas Creek above second reservoir	C03DOUGC10	3319-01	46 47 07	113 07 39	9/27/2003
Douglas Creek above Murray Creek	C03DOUGC20	3320-01	46 48 03	113 03 52	9/27/2003
Douglas Creek near mouth	C03DOUGC30	3321-01	46 51 41	113 00 09	9/27/2003
Gallagher Creek near mouth	C03GALGC10	3322-01	46 46 18	112 44 55	9/28/2003
Washington Creek above Cow Gulch	C03WASHC10	3323-01	46 47 07	112 39 55	9/28/2003
Washington Creek above Highway 141	C03WASHC20	3324-01	46 45 45	112 42 00	9/28/2003
Jefferson Creek above Madison Gulch	C03JEFSC10	3325-01	46 48 08	112 41 37	9/29/2003
Jefferson Creek above Highway 141	C03JEFSC30	3326-01	46 46 34	112 44 18	9/29/2003
Brazier Creek above Nevada Creek Road	C03BRZLC10	3327-01	46 48 25	112 50 18	9/29/2003
Seven-Up Pete Creek headwaters	C03SVNPC01	3328-01	46 56 44	112 31 25	10/24/2003



Table 2. Diatom association metrics used by the State of Montana to evaluate biological integrity in **mountain** streams: references, ranges of values, expected response to increasing impairment or natural stress, and criteria for rating levels of biological integrity. The lowest rating for any one metric is the rating for that site.

Biological Integrity/ Impairment or Stress/ Use Support	No. of Species Counted <sup>1</sup>	Diversity Index <sup>2</sup> (Shannon)	Pollution Index <sup>3</sup>	Siltation Index <sup>4</sup>	Disturbance Index <sup>5</sup>	% Dominant Species <sup>6</sup>	% Abnormal Cells <sup>7</sup>	Similarity Index <sup>8</sup>
Excellent/None Full Support	>29	>2.99	>2.50	<20.0	<25.0	<25.0	0	>59.9
Good/Minor Full Support	20-29	2.00-2.99	2.01-2.50	20.0-39.9	25.0-49.9	25.0-49.9	>0.0, <3.0	40.0-59.9
Fair/Moderate Partial Support	19-10	1.00-1.99	1.50-2.00	40.0-59.9	50.0-74.9	50.0-74.9	3.0-9.9	20.0-39.9
Poor/Severe Nonsupport	<10	<1.00	<1.50	>59.9	>74.9	>74.9	>9.9	<20.0
References	Bahls 1979 Bahls 1993	Bahls 1979	Bahls 1993	Bahls 1993	Barbour et al. 1999	Barbour et al. 1999	McFarland et al. 1997	Whittaker 1952
Range of Values	0-100+	0.00-5.00+	1.00-3.00	0.0-90.0+	0.0-100.0	~5.0-100.0	0.0-30.0+	0.0-100.0
Expected Response	Decrease <sup>9</sup>	Decrease <sup>9</sup>	Decrease	Increase	Increase	Increase	Increase	Decrease

<sup>1</sup>Based on a proportional count of 300 cells (600 valves)

<sup>2</sup>Base 2 [bits] (Weber 1973)

<sup>3</sup>Composite numeric expression of the pollution tolerances assigned by Lange-Bertalot (1979) to the common diatom species

<sup>4</sup>Sum of the percent abundances of all species in the genera *Navicula*, *Nitzschia* and *Surirella*

<sup>5</sup>Percent abundance of *Achnanthes minutissimum* (synonym: *Achnanthes minutissima*)

<sup>6</sup>Percent abundance of the species with the largest number of cells in the proportional count

<sup>7</sup>Cells with an irregular outline or with abnormal ornamentation, or both

<sup>8</sup>Percent Community Similarity (Whittaker 1952)

<sup>9</sup>Species richness and diversity may increase somewhat in mountain streams in response to slight to moderate increases in nutrients or sediment

Table 3. Sample notes for periphyton samples collected in 2003 from the Blackfoot River TMDL Planning Area.

Station Code	Notes
C03MONTC30	Unknown alga has short, branched filaments with barrel-shaped cells
C03MONTC20	Sample slightly putrid; contains macrophytes
C03MONTC10	Sample includes a stick ~0.25 in. thick and ~3 in. long
C03KLSC01	Sample very silty and slightly putrid; contains macrophytes and marl (calcium carbonate precipitate)
C03YRNM20	<i>Melosira varians</i> is the visually dominant diatom in this sample
C03RHMD01	Sample contains moss
C03CLRWF10	Sample mostly moss; <i>Epithemia turgida</i> and <i>Rhopalodia gibba</i> are visually conspicuous diatoms
C03CLRWF20	A very diverse sample (15 genera of non-diatom algae)
C03DEERC10	Sample mostly moss
C03DEERC20	Sample mostly moss; <i>Chaetophora</i> in this sample is senescent
C03BLHDC10	Sample mostly decaying leaves
C03WALSC10	Sample mostly twigs and decaying leaves; <i>Cladophora</i> is covered with <i>Cocconeis pediculus</i>
C03ROCKC20	No notes
C03ROCKC10	Sample mostly macrophytes; a very dirty sample (fine particulate organic matter and sediment)
C03FRZRC10	Sample very silty (fine inorganic sediment)
C03BUFLG20	Sample mostly moss and leaves; very silty
C03BUFLG10	Sample silty and contains fine particulate organic matter; <i>Chamaesiphon</i> is an epiphyte on <i>Rhizoclonium</i>
C03BKBRC10	Sample contains moss; very silty
C03MURYC20	Sample contains moss; very silty with fine particulate organic matter
C03MURYC10	Sample contains moss; very silty with fine particulate organic matter; <i>Closterium</i> cells are very large
C03DOUGC10	Sample contains macrophytes; very silty
C03DOUGC20	Sample mostly macrophytes; heavy sediment
C03DOUGC30	Sample contains macrophytes; very silty
C03GALGC10	Sample very silty; contains moss
C03WASHC10	Sample contains macrophytes and <i>Nostoc</i> (ear-shaped colonies); <i>Closterium</i> cells very large
C03WASHC20	Sample very silty; contains plant parts and detritus
C03JEFSC10	Sample contains macrophytes; some silt and fine particulate organic matter
C03JEFSC30	Sample contains moss; very silty
C03BRZLC10	Sample very silty; decaying leaf
C03SVNPC01	Sample mostly moss; silt and fine particulate organic matter common

Table 4. Relative abundance of cells and ordinal rank by biovolume of diatoms (Division Bacillariophyta) and genera of non-diatom algae in periphyton samples collected from the Blackfoot River TMDL Planning Area in 2003: d = dominant, a = abundant, f = frequent, c = common; o = occasional; r = rare.

Taxa	MONTC10	MONTC20	MONTC30	KLSCMC01	YRNMCMC20	RHMDC01	CLRWF10	CLRWF20	DEERC10	DEERC20
<b>Cyanophyta</b>										
<i>Amphithrix</i>	f/5						f/8		o/7	
<i>Anabaena</i>								r/15		
<i>Calothrix</i>										
<i>Chamaesiphon</i>										
<i>Hydrocoleum</i>			r/13							
<i>Merismopedia</i>		o/6					c/10	o/10	f/2	a/2
<i>Nostoc</i>						f/2		f/6		
<i>Oscillatoria</i>	a/2	f/3	d/2	f/3						
<i>Phormidium</i>								c/7	r/9	f/4
<i>Tolypothrix</i>										
<b>Rhodophyta</b>										
<i>Audouinella</i>			o/7	c/4		c/3	o/11	o/11	o/6	
<b>Chlorophyta</b>										
<i>Ankistrodesmus</i>			o/12					o/13		c/6
<i>Chaetophora</i>								f/5		
<i>Cladophora</i>										
<i>Closterium</i>		o/4	c/5	o/5			o/13	r/16	r/10	o/12
<i>Cosmarium</i>			o/9		r/5					c/8
<i>Hormidium</i>							f/5			
<i>Microspora</i>							a/3		o/5	o/10
<i>Mougeotia</i>							c/6	f/4	c/3	c/5
<i>Oedogonium</i>		f/2	f/3				o/9	o/8		
<i>Pediastrum</i>			o/11							
<i>Rhizoclonium</i>					a/2					
<i>Scenedesmus</i>		o/5	o/10							
<i>Spirogyra</i>	c/4			c/2	c/4		c/7	a/1	f/3	c/7
<i>Staurastrum</i>		r/7						a/3		
<i>Stigeoclonium</i>			o/8							
<i>Ulothrix</i>										
<i>Zygnema</i>	a/1						a/2	o/12		o/9

Table 4. Relative abundance of cells and ordinal rank by biovolume of diatoms (Division Bacillariophyta) and genera of non-diatom algae in periphyton samples collected from the Blackfoot River TMDL Planning Area in 2003: d = dominant, a = abundant, f = frequent, c = common; o = occasional; r = rare.

Taxa	MONTC10	MONTC20	MONTC30	KLSMC01	YRNMCMC01	RHMDC01	CLRWF10	CLRWF20	DEERC10	DEERC20
Chrysophyta										
<i>Tribonema</i>		c/6					o/12	c/9	f/4	o/11
<i>Vaucheria</i>										
Phaeophyta										
<i>Heribaudiella</i>			a/4			c/4	d/1	r/14	o/8	
Unknown										
				c/6						
Bacillariophyta		f/3	a/1	d/1	a/1	d/1	f/4	a/2	f/1	a/1
No. Non-Diatom Genera	5	6	12	4	4	3	12	15	9	11



Table 4. Relative abundance of cells and ordinal rank by biovolume of diatoms (Division Bacillariophyta) and genera of non-diatom algae in periphyton samples collected from the Blackfoot River TMDL Planning Area in 2003: d = dominant, a = abundant, f = frequent, c = common; o = occasional; r = rare.

Taxa	BLHDC10	WALSC10	ROCKC10	ROCKC20	FRZRC10	BUFLG10	BUFLG20	BKBRC10	MURYC10	MURYC20
<b>Cyanophyta</b>										
<i>Amphithrix</i>	c/6									
<i>Anabaena</i>										
<i>Calothrix</i>							o/6			
<i>Chamaesiphon</i>										
<i>Hydrocoleum</i>			r/9							
<i>Merismopedia</i>										
<i>Nostoc</i>	c/5						c/4		o/3	
<i>Oscillatoria</i>	d/2	o/4	o/7		o/4		o/5	c/4	c/2	
<i>Phormidium</i>					o/5					
<i>Tolypothrix</i>										
<b>Rhodophyta</b>										
<i>Audouinella</i>					o/3					
<b>Chlorophyta</b>										
<i>Ankistrodesmus</i>		o/5		f/5						
<i>Chaetophora</i>										
<i>Cladophora</i>		c/2								o/2
<i>Closterium</i>	o/7						c/3	c/3		
<i>Cosmarium</i>	c/3			r/8						
<i>Hormidium</i>										
<i>Microspora</i>										
<i>Mougeotia</i>		r/6		c/3						
<i>Oedogonium</i>			o/3							
<i>Pediastrum</i>										
<i>Rhizoclonium</i>							f/2			
<i>Scenedesmus</i>										
<i>Spirogyra</i>				c/4						
<i>Staurostrum</i>		o/3		o/6						
<i>Stigeoclonium</i>			a/1							
<i>Ulothrix</i>	c/4									
<i>Zygnema</i>				d/2						

Table 4. Relative abundance of cells and ordinal rank by biovolume of diatoms (Division Bacillariophyta) and genera of non-diatom algae in periphyton samples collected from the Blackfoot River TMDL Planning Area in 2003: d = dominant, a = abundant, f = frequent, c = common; o = occasional; r = rare.

Taxa	BLHDC10	WALSC10	ROCKC10	ROCKC20	FRZRC10	BUFLG10	BUFLG20	BKBRC10	MURYC10	MURYC20
Chrysophyta										
<i>Tribonema</i>										
<i>Vaucheria</i>					o/2				f/2	
Phaeophyta										
<i>Heribaudiella</i>										
Unknown										
Bacillariophyta	d/1	d/1	f/2	d/1	a/1	a/1	a/1	a/1	f/1	c/1
No. Non-Diatom Genera	6	5	2	8	4	5	3	2	1	0



Table 4. Relative abundance of cells and ordinal rank by biovolume of diatoms (Division Bacillariophyta) and genera of non-diatom algae in periphyton samples collected from the Blackfoot River TMDL Planning Area in 2003: d = dominant, a = abundant, f = frequent, c = common; o = occasional; r = rare.

Taxa	DOUGC10	DOUGC20	DOUGC30	GALGC10	WASHC10	WASHC20	JEFSC10	JEFSC30	BRZLC10	SVNPC01
Chrysophyta										
<i>Tribonema</i>										
<i>Vaucheria</i>										
Phaeophyta										
<i>Heribaudiella</i>										
Unknown										
Bacillariophyta	d/1	d/1	d/1	c/1	f/1	a/1	c/3	a/1	a/2	a/1
No. Non-Diatom Genera	4	6	10	2	5	5	6	6	2	4



Table 5. Percent abundance of major diatom species<sup>1</sup> and values of selected diatom association metrics for periphyton samples collected from the Blackfoot River TMDL Planning Area in 2003. Underlined values indicate minor stress; **bold values** indicate moderate stress; underlined and bold values indicate severe stress; all other values indicate no stress and full support of aquatic life uses when compared to biocriteria (thresholds) in Table 2. Observed stress may be natural or anthropogenic.

Species/Metric <sup>2</sup>	MONTC10	MONTC20	MONTC30	KLSCMC01	YRNMC20	RHMDCC01	CLRWF10	CLRWF20	DEERC10	DEERC20
<i>Achnanthydium deflexum</i>				25.96				14.50		
<i>Ach. minutissimum</i>				33.57				14.96		
<i>Cocconeis placentula</i>	27.29	34.84	23.99						5.09	5.09
<i>Cymbella excisa</i>					7.95	6.86	18.03			7.82
<i>Cymbella suburgidula</i>			8.77							
<i>Diatoma mesodon</i>	9.76							12.08		
<i>Diatoma vulgare</i>										
<i>Districella incognita</i>										
<i>Encyonema silesiacum</i>	7.53	28.74	16.72							
<i>Encyonopsis krammeri</i>										
<i>Epithemia sores</i>										
<i>Epithemia turgida</i>						6.41				
<i>Gomphonema angustatum</i>	16.35									
<i>Gomphonema designatum</i>								12.20		
<i>Gomphonema kobayashii</i>										
<i>Gomphonema minutum</i>					7.30					43.96
<i>Melosira varians</i>					11.69					
<i>Navicula capitatoradiata</i>										
<i>Navicula cryptotenella</i>										
<i>Navicula enigmatica</i>						5.34	5.10			
<i>Navicula reichardtiana</i>							10.68		6.53	
<i>Navicula tripunctata</i>										
<i>Nitzschia dissipata</i>										
<i>Nitzschia fonticola</i>										
<i>Nitzschia linearis</i>										
<b><i>Nitzschia palea</i></b>										
<i>Nitzschia paleacea</i>										
<i>Planorhynchium spp.</i>					11.35	5.99				6.18
<i>Pseudostaur. brevistriata</i>										
<i>Rhoicosphenia abbreviata</i>						16.56				

Table 5. Percent abundance of major diatom species<sup>1</sup> and values of selected diatom association metrics for periphyton samples collected from the Blackfoot River TMDL Planning Area in 2003. Underlined values indicate minor stress; **bold values indicate moderate stress**; underlined and bold values indicate severe stress; all other values indicate no stress and full support of aquatic life uses when compared to biocriteria (thresholds) in Table 2. Observed stress may be natural or anthropogenic.

Species/Metric <sup>2</sup>	MONTC10	MONTC20	MONTC30	KLSMC01	YRNCM01	RHMD01	CLRW01	CLRW02	DEERC10	DEERC20
<i>Staurosira construens</i>									19.72	
<i>Surirella minuta</i>										
<i>Synedra rumpens</i>			7.84			11.11	6.17	9.44	9.68	
<i>Synedra ulna</i>										
No. of Species Counted	46	55	56	50	59	40	49	46	52	44
Species Diversity	3.90	3.36	4.18	3.44	4.89	4.37	4.51	4.10	4.56	3.62
Pollution Index	2.60	2.57	2.62	2.76	<u>2.34</u>	2.53	2.58	2.66	2.65	<u>2.35</u>
Siltation Index	5.65	12.70	10.96	7.25	<u>35.30</u>	<u>24.95</u>	<u>23.25</u>	7.48	<u>23.80</u>	10.78
Disturbance Index	<u>27.29</u>	34.84	23.99	<u>33.57</u>	4.20	3.70	2.61	14.96	2.92	5.09
% Dominant Species	<u>27.29</u>	<u>34.84</u>	23.99	<u>33.57</u>	11.69	16.56	18.03	14.96	19.72	<u>43.96</u>
% Abnormal Cells	0.00	<u>0.20</u>	<u>0.46</u>	<u>0.70</u>	<u>0.34</u>	<u>1.74</u>	<u>1.19</u>	<u>0.12</u>	<u>0.12</u>	<u>0.48</u>
% Rhopalodiales	0.00	0.00	0.12	0.00	0.34	0.00	12.69	2.30	3.03	4.03
Similarity Index <sup>3</sup>		51.64	64.22					34.09		26.75

<sup>1</sup>A major diatom species accounts for 8% or more of the cells at one or more stations in a sample set. Values for major species are shown only where they equal or exceed 5% of the cells in that sample.

<sup>2</sup>Species that are sensitive to organic pollution are in *italics*; species that are somewhat tolerant of organic pollution are underlined; species that are very tolerant to organic pollution are in **bold face type**.

<sup>3</sup>Percent Community Similarity (Whittaker 1952) when compared to the diatom assemblage at the adjacent upstream station on same stream.



Table 5. Percent abundance of major diatom species<sup>1</sup> and values of selected diatom association metrics for periphyton samples collected from the Blackfoot River TMDL Planning Area in 2003. Underlined values indicate minor stress; **bold values** indicate moderate stress; underlined and bold values indicate severe stress; all other values indicate no stress and full support of aquatic life uses when compared to biocriteria (thresholds) in Table 2. Observed stress may be natural or anthropogenic.

Species/Metric <sup>2</sup>	BLHDC10	WALSC10	ROCKC10	ROCKC20	FRZRC10	BUFLG10	BUFLG20	BKBRC10	MURYC10	MURYC20
<i>Staurosira construens</i>										
<i>Surirella minuta</i>								8.53		
<i>Synedra rumpens</i>										
<i>Synedra ulna</i>										
No. of Species Counted	38	62	57	43	51	53	65	66	47	73
Species Diversity	3.78	4.72	4.11	3.87	4.44	4.41	4.59	4.69	3.96	4.99
Pollution Index	2.55	<u>2.45</u>	2.86	2.89	2.49	2.38	2.35	<b>1.92</b>	2.60	2.22
Siltation Index	<b>59.67</b>	<b>42.92</b>	11.41	2.62	<b>59.88</b>	<b>41.03</b>	<b>62.76</b>	<b>75.47</b>	<b>22.67</b>	<b>29.32</b>
Disturbance Index	2.00	1.14	11.30	26.68	2.91	0.56	0.68	1.30	<b>25.70</b>	4.56
% Dominant Species	<b>32.67</b>	17.81	18.91	<b>26.68</b>	13.84	21.29	14.24	19.79	<b>25.70</b>	13.32
% Abnormal Cells	0.00	<u>0.34</u>	<u>0.22</u>	<u>0.34</u>	<u>0.12</u>	<u>0.33</u>	<u>0.11</u>	0.00	0.00	<u>0.12</u>
% Rhopalodiales	7.31	1.37	0.00	0.00	1.86	1.90	0.91	2.37	0.35	0.00
Similarity Index <sup>3</sup>				17.43			49.72			51.04

<sup>1</sup>A major diatom species accounts for 8% or more of the cells at one or more stations in a sample set. Values for major species are shown only where they equal or exceed 5% of the cells in that sample.

<sup>2</sup>Species that are sensitive to organic pollution are in *italics*; species that are somewhat tolerant of organic pollution are underlined; species that are very tolerant to organic pollution are in **bold face type**.

<sup>3</sup>Percent Community Similarity (Whittaker 1952) when compared to the diatom assemblage at the adjacent upstream station on same stream.



Table 5. Percent abundance of major diatom species<sup>1</sup> and values of selected diatom association metrics for periphyton samples collected from the Blackfoot River TMDL Planning Area in 2003. Underlined values indicate minor stress; **bold values** indicate moderate stress; underlined and bold values indicate severe stress; all other values indicate no stress and full support of aquatic life uses when compared to biocriteria (thresholds) in Table 2. Observed stress may be natural or anthropogenic.

Species/Metric <sup>2</sup>	DOUGC10	DOUGC20	DOUGC30	GALGC10	WASHC10	WASHC20	JEFSC10	JEFSC30	BRZLC10	SVNPC01
<i>Achnanthyidium deflexum</i>										
<i>Ach. minutissimum</i>										
<i>Cocconeis placentula</i>	7.77			7.00	17.53	5.64	17.25	8.93	20.84	15.01
<i>Cymbella excisa</i>	63.52									
<i>Cymbella suburgidula</i>										
<i>Diatoma mesodon</i>										
<i>Diatoma vulgaris</i>	17.49									
<i>Distironella incognita</i>										
<i>Encyonema silesiacum</i>										
<i>Encyonopsis krammeri</i>										
<i>Epithemia sores</i>							30.42			9.93
<i>Epithemia turgida</i>										
<i>Gomphonema angustatum</i>										
<i>Gomphonema designatum</i>					6.12				11.96	
<i>Gomphonema kobayashii</i>										
<i>Gomphonema minutum</i>								25.64		20.80
<i>Melosira varians</i>				16.44				6.38		
<i>Navicula capitatoradiata</i>		19.24			6.96					
<i>Navicula cryptotenella</i>				12.11						
<i>Navicula enigmatica</i>										
<i>Navicula reichardtiana</i>					13.69		5.59	8.24		6.03
<i>Navicula tripunctata</i>										
<i>Nitzschia dissipata</i>	11.09	5.19				24.31			11.96	
<i>Nitzschia fonticola</i>										
<i>Nitzschia linearis</i>		5.08				9.61			5.24	
<i>Nitzschia palea</i>		8.76								
<i>Nitzschia paleacea</i>							9.79			
<i>Planothidium spp.</i>				16.44					7.17	8.28
<i>Pseudostaur. brevistriata</i>										
<i>Rhoicosphenia abbreviata</i>						8.29			7.40	14.07

Table 5. Percent abundance of major diatom species<sup>1</sup> and values of selected diatom association metrics for periphyton samples collected from the Blackfoot River TMDL Planning Area in 2003. Underlined values indicate minor stress; **bold values indicate moderate stress**; underlined and bold values indicate severe stress; all other values indicate no stress and full support of aquatic life uses when compared to biocriteria (thresholds) in Table 2. Observed stress may be natural or anthropogenic.

Species/Metric <sup>2</sup>		DOUGC10	DOUGC20	DOUGC30	GALGC10	WASHC10	WASHC20	JEFSC10	JEFSC30	BRZLC10	SVNPC01
<i>Staurosira construens</i>											
<i>Surirella minuta</i>											
<i>Synedra rumpens</i>			8.80								
<i>Synedra ulna</i>											
No. of Species Counted		42	67	63	68	55	54	41	63	48	36
Species Diversity		<u>2.64</u>	4.72	4.80	4.67	4.54	4.40	3.68	4.44	4.24	3.81
Pollution Index		2.76	<u>2.45</u>	<u>2.28</u>	<u>2.15</u>	<u>2.48</u>	<u>2.48</u>	2.62	<u>2.18</u>	2.57	2.69
Siltation Index		16.90	<u>39.77</u>	<u>61.51</u>	<u>36.89</u>	<b>42.02</b>	<u>61.44</u>	<u>27.74</u>	<u>38.63</u>	<u>38.61</u>	18.09
Disturbance Index		0.12	2.97	3.57	0.89	3.00	2.32	0.93	0.93	0.00	1.42
% Dominant Species		<b>63.52</b>	17.49	19.24	16.44	17.53	24.31	<u>30.42</u>	<u>25.64</u>	20.84	20.80
% Abnormal Cells		<u>0.23</u>	<u>0.91</u>	0.00	<u>0.44</u>	<u>0.48</u>	<u>0.77</u>	<u>0.35</u>	0.00	<u>0.34</u>	<u>0.71</u>
% Rhopalodiales		1.40	0.11	0.65	0.00	1.32	0.00	33.68	0.70	0.00	10.76
Similarity Index <sup>3</sup>			24.69	44.04			43.32				

<sup>1</sup> A major diatom species accounts for 8% or more of the cells at one or more stations in a sample set. Values for major species are shown only where they equal or exceed 5% of the cells in that sample.

<sup>2</sup> Species that are sensitive to organic pollution are in *italics*; species that are somewhat tolerant of organic pollution are underlined; species that are very tolerant to organic pollution are in **bold face type**.

<sup>3</sup> Percent Community Similarity (Whittaker 1952) when compared to the diatom assemblage at the adjacent upstream station on same stream.

Table 6. Modal categories for selected ecological attributes of diatom species in the Blackfoot River TMDL Planning Area. Modal categories that represent inferior water quality when compared to the best sites in the sample set are given in **bold** letters.

Ecological Attribute	Station									
	MONTC10	MONTC20	MONTC30	KLSMC01	YRNMC20	RHMDC01	CLRWF10	CLRWF20	DEERC10	DEERC20
Motility <sup>1</sup>	not motile	not motile	not motile	not motile	not motile	not motile	not motile	note motile	not motile	not motile
pH <sup>2</sup>	circum-neutral	circum-neutral	circum-neutral	circum-neutral	alkali-philous	alkali-philous	alkali-philous	alkali-philous	alkali-philous	alkali-philous
Salinity <sup>2</sup>	fresh	fresh	fresh	fresh	fresh	fresh	fresh	fresh	fresh	fresh
Nitrogen Uptake <sup>2</sup>	autotrophs	autotrophs	autotrophs	autotrophs	autotrophs	autotrophs	autotrophs	not classified	autotrophs	facultative heterotroph
Oxygen Demand <sup>2</sup>	continuously high	continuously high	continuously high	continuously high	moderate	fairly high	moderate	not classified	continuously high	moderate
Saprobity <sup>2</sup>	beta-meso-saprobous	beta-meso-saprobous	beta-meso-saprobous	beta-meso-saprobous	alpha-meso-saprobous	beta-meso-saprobous	beta-meso-saprobous	not classified	beta-meso-saprobous	alpha-meso-saprobous
Trophic State <sup>2</sup>	variable	variable	variable	variable	eutra-phentic	eutra-phentic	eutra-phentic	not classified	meso-eutra-phentic	eutra-phentic

<sup>1</sup>Dr. R. Jan Stevenson, Michigan State University, digital communication.

<sup>2</sup>Van Dam et al. 1994

Table 6. Modal categories for selected ecological attributes of diatom species in the Blackfoot River TMDL Planning Area. Modal categories that represent inferior water quality when compared to the best sites in the sample set are given in **bold** letters.

Ecological Attribute	Station									
	BLHDC10	WALSC10	ROCKC10	ROCKC20	FRZRC10	BUFLG10	BUFLG20	BKBRC10	MURYC10	MURYC20
Motility <sup>1</sup>	highly motile	not motile	not motile	not motile	moderately motile	not motile	moderately motile	highly motile	not motile	not motile
pH <sup>2</sup>	alkali-philous	alkali-philous	not classified	alkali-philous	alkali-philous	alkali-philous	alkali-philous	alkali-philous	alkali-philous	alkali-philous
Salinity <sup>2</sup>	fresh	fresh	not classified	fresh	fresh	fresh	fresh	fresh	fresh	fresh
Nitrogen Uptake <sup>2</sup>	autotrophs	autotrophs	not classified	autotrophs	autotrophs	autotrophs	autotrophs	autotrophs	autotrophs	autotrophs
Oxygen Demand <sup>2</sup>	fairly high	fairly high	not classified	continuously high	moderate	moderate	not classified	moderate	moderate	moderate
Saprobity <sup>2</sup>	beta-meso-saprobous	beta-meso-saprobous	not classified	beta-meso-saprobous	beta-meso-saprobous	beta-meso-saprobous	beta-meso-saprobous	beta-meso-saprobous	beta-meso-saprobous	beta-meso-saprobous
Trophic State <sup>2</sup>	eutra-phentic	meso-eutra-phentic	not classified	variable	eutra-phentic	eutra-phentic	eutra-phentic	eutra-phentic	eutra-phentic	eutra-phentic

<sup>1</sup>Dr. R. Jan Stevenson, Michigan State University, digital communication.

<sup>2</sup>Van Dam et al. 1994



Table 6. Modal categories for selected ecological attributes of diatom species in the Blackfoot River TMDL Planning Area. Modal categories that that represent inferior water quality when compared to the best sites in the sample set are given in **bold** letters.

Ecological Attribute	Station							
	DOUGC10	DOUGC20	DOUGC30	GALGC10	WASHC10	WASHC20	JEFSC10	JEFSC30
Motility <sup>1</sup>	variable motility	not motile	moderately motile	not motile	not motile	highly motile	moderately motile	not motile
pH <sup>2</sup>	alkali-philous	alkali-philous	alkali-philous	alkali-philous	alkali-philous	alkali-philous	alkali-philous	alkali-philous
Salinity <sup>2</sup>	fresh	fresh	fresh	fresh	fresh	fresh	fresh	fresh
Nitrogen Uptake <sup>2</sup>	autotrophs	autotrophs	autotrophs	autotrophs	autotrophs	autotrophs	autotrophs	autotrophs
Oxygen Demand <sup>2</sup>	continuously high	moderate	moderate	moderate	moderate	fairly high	fairly high	moderate
Saprobity <sup>2</sup>	beta-meso-saprobous	beta-meso-saprobous	beta-meso-saprobous	beta-meso-saprobous	beta-meso-saprobous	beta-meso-saprobous	beta-meso-saprobous	beta-meso-saprobous
Trophic State <sup>2</sup>	eutra-phentic	eutra-phentic	eutra-phentic	eutra-phentic	eutra-phentic	eutra-phentic	eutra-phentic	eutra-phentic
								not classified

<sup>1</sup>Dr. R. Jan Stevenson, Michigan State University, digital communication.

<sup>2</sup>Van Dam et al. 1994

